

*Interview Summary Comments*

Applicant's representative, attorney, Thomas Hildebrandt, registration# 59303 stated that the prior art did not disclose a SIP response message as claimed, but a SIP request message.

Examiner intimated that with a request message, there would be a response message as disclosed with the output of the server in response to the request, column 2, page 32, lines 5-7, 12-13, and also, with the final response to the SIP request, column 1, page 33, lines 4-5. Further, attorney contended that the filtering is not done on response to the request as shown in column 1, page 32, lines 39-41. And, a priority filter criteria is considered for the request as shown in column 2, page 32, lines 5-7. Examiner stated that consideration would be given in the subsequent review and prosecution of the application.

LA/la  
January 12, 2010

/Seema S. Rao/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2462